



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED'STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/217,112	12/21/1998	KYOU-WOONG KIM	678-206-(P85	8740
7.	590 04/17/2003			
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.			EXAMI	NER
UNIONDALE,			CUMMING, V	VILLIAM D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	/3
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/217,112	KIM, KYOU-WOONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	WILLIAM D. CUMMING					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the state of t	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. a reply within the statutory minimum of oeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 <u>12 November 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	P					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 12, 2002 has been entered.
- 2. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE

  FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

  Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final 3. action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Tam** as stated by paragraph 4, of the Office action dated June 12, 2002.
- 6. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Murata**, et al as stated by paragraph 5, of the Office action dated June 12, 2002.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Tanka**, et al as stated by paragraph 6, of the Office action dated June 12, 2002.
- 8. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Shinozaki** as stated by paragraph 7, of the Office action dated June 12, 2002.
- 9. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Kyrolahti, et al** as stated by paragraph 8, of the Office action dated June 12, 2002.

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cashman in view of Murata, et al or Tanaka, et al or Shinozaki or Kyronlahti, et al or Tam as stated by paragraph 11, of the Office action dated June 12, 2002.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 14. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

# Response to Amendment

# 15. AMENDMENTS MAY NOW BE SUBMITTED IN REVISED FORMAT

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is permitting applicants to submit amendments in a revised format as set forth below. Further details of this practice are described in AMENDMENTS IN A REVISED FORMAT NOW PERMITTED, signed January 31, 2003, expected to be published in Official Gazette in February, 2003 (Notice posted on the Office's web site at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.htm).

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.ntm ). The revised amendment format is essentially the same as the amendment format that the Office is considering adopting via a revision to 37 CFR 1.121 (Manner of Making Amendments). The revision to 37 CFR 1.121 (if adopted) will simplify amendment submission and improve file management. The Office plans to adopt such a revision to 37 CFR 1.121 by July of 2003, at which point compliance with revised 37 CFR 1.121 will be mandatory.

Effective immediately, all applicants may submit amendments in reply to Office actions using the following format. Participants in the Office's electronic file wrapper prototype<sup>1</sup> receiving earlier notices of the revised practice may also employ the procedures set out below.

# REVISED FORMAT OF AMENDMENTS

#### Begin on separate sheets:

Each section of an Amendment (e.g., Claim Amendments, Specification Amendments, Remarks) should begin on a separate sheet. For example, in an amendment containing a.) introductory comments, b.) amendments to the claims, c.) amendments to the specification, and d.) remarks, each of these sections should begin on a separate sheet. This will facilitate the process of separately indexing and scanning of each part of an amendment document for placement in an electronic file wrapper.

# Two versions of amended part(s) no longer required:

The current requirement in 37 CFR 1.121(b) and (c) to provide two versions (a clean version and a marked up version) of each replacement paragraph, section, substitute specification or claim will be waived where an amendment is submitted in the following format:

## A) Amendments to the claims:

Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, or submission of a new claim, **must include a complete listing** of all claims in the application. After each claim number, the status must be indicated in a parenthetical expression, and the text of each claim under examination (with markings to show current changes) must be presented. The listing will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims in the application.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Office's Electronic File Wrapper prototype program is described in *USPTO ANNOUNCES PROTOTYPE OF IMAGE PROCESSING*, 1265 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 87 (Dec. 17, 2002) ("Prototype Announcement"), and applies only to Art Units 1634, 2827 and 2834.

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

(1) The current status of all of the claims in the application, including any previously canceled or withdrawn claims, must be given. Status is indicated in a parenthetical expression following the claim number by one of the following: (original), (currently amended), (previously amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously added), (reinstated – formerly claim #\_), (previously reinstated), (re-presented – formerly dependent claim #\_), or (previously re-presented). The text of all pending claims under examination must be submitted each time any claim is amended. Canceled and withdrawn claims should be indicated by only the claim number and status.

- (2) All claims <u>being currently amended</u> must be presented with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version. The changes in any amended claim should be shown by strikethrough (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter). An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Only claims of the status "currently amended" will include markings.
- (3) The text of pending claims <u>not being amended</u> must be presented in clean version, i.e., without any markings. Any claim presented in clean version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version.
- (4) A claim may be canceled by merely providing an instruction to cancel. Listing a claim as canceled will constitute an instruction to cancel. Any claims added by amendment must be indicated as (new) and shall not be underlined.
- (5) All of the claims in each amendment paper must be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive canceled or withdrawn claims may be aggregated into one statement (e.g. Claims 1 5 (canceled)).

#### Example of listing of claims:

Claims 1-5 (canceled)

Claim 6 (withdrawn)

Claim 7 (previously amended): A bucket with a handle.

Claim 8 (currently amended): A bucket with a green blue handle.

Claim 9 (withdrawn)

Claim 10 (original): The bucket of claim 8 with a wooden handle.

Claim 11 (canceled)

Claim 12 (new): A bucket with plastic sides and bottom.

Claim 13 (previously added): A bucket having a circumferential upper lip.

Claim 14 (re-presented – formerly claim 11): A black bucket with a wooden handle.

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

#### B) Amendments to the specification:

Amendments to the specification may be made by presenting a replacement paragraph, section or substitute specification marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented.

#### C) Amendments to drawing figures:

Drawing changes may be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the proposed changes and which comply with § 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented in the remarks section of the amendment. If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not approved by the examiner, applicant will be informed in the next Office action. Any replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended."

Any questions regarding the submission of amendments pursuant to the revised practice set forth in this flyer should be directed to the following legal advisors in the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA): Elizabeth Dougherty (Elizabeth Dougherty@uspto.gov), Gena Jones (Eugenia.Jones@uspto.gov) or Joe Narcavage (Joseph.Narcavage@uspto.gov). For information on the waiver or legal aspects of the prototype, please contact Jay Lucas (Jay.Lucas@uspto.gov), Senior Legal Advisor (PCTLA) or Rob Clarke (Robert.Clarke@uspto.gov), Senior Legal Advisor (OPLA). Alternatively, further information may be obtained by calling OPLA at (703) 305-1616.

#### Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed **N**ovember 12, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

In the consideration of claims in an amended case where no attempt is made to point out the patentable novelty, the claims should not be allowed.

Applicant state that the amendments filed on November 12, 2002, "...serve to clarify the terms and are not purposes of patentability or of limiting the scope of the claims." Since the added terms do nothing for patentability or limit the claims, as admitted by applicant, hence, the references still read on the claims.

#### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wheller discloses a cellular telephone ring annunciator.

**Corkum** shows an annunciating apparatus.

Edgar, III teaches a ringer for satellite user terminals.

Becher, et al disclose administering supplementary services.

Peterson, et al show incoming telephone call.

Luzzatto, et al teach a telephone identification calling apparatus.

18. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2683.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/217,112

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

# USPTO Changes Correspondence Addresses Under Secretary and Commissioner for Patents To Get New Addresses

- 19. The Department of Commerce's United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is changing some of its mailing addresses so all correspondence will be routed through a United States Postal Service facility in northern Virginia, in preparation for its move to new office space in Alexandria, VA, beginning at the end of this year.
- 20. Effective May 1, 2003, the general address for the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, as well as for the Commissioner for Patents will be:

PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

- 21. The address for the Commissioner for Trademarks and other trademark-related correspondence will not change.
- 22. The USPTO has separate mailing addresses for other subject-specific correspondence. To determine the appropriate addresses for such correspondence, customers should check the USPTO Web site www.uspto.gov.
- 23. For additional information, refer to the Federal Register, Vol. 68, No.57 http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/68fr14332.pdf
- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **WILLIAM D. CUMMING** whose telephone number is 703-305-4394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday 6:30am to 3:00pm, Tuesday 6:30am to 8:30pm and Wednesday 6:30am to 10:30am EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-6075 for regular communications and 703-746-6075 for After Final communications.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/217,112

Art Unit: 2683 Final Rejection.doc 4/15/03 9:54 PM

25. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

WILLIAM D. CUMMING Primary Examiner Art Unit 2683

wdc April 15, 2003



William Cumming
Primary Patent Examiner
Washington, DC 20231
(703) 305-4394 (703) 308 6306 Fax
william.cumming@uspto.gov